

DRAFT  
Attorney-Client Privileged Communication

**Costs For Air Pollution Control Equipment For Oil-Fired Industrial Boilers**

<b>Pollutant</b>	<u>PM &amp; Acid Gas</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>Mercury</u>	<u>Dioxin</u>
<b>Likely Control</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SDA (Spray Dryer Absorber) for acid gas; OR</li> <li>• SDA &amp; FF (Fabric Filter) for PM; OR</li> <li>• <b>No control (78)<sup>1</sup></b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oxidation Catalyst (CATOX)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carbon Injection (CI)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Possible FF</b></li> </ul>
<b>Comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Units need only a SDA if they already have a FF or ESP (Electrostatic Precipitator)</li> <li>• Units need a SDA &amp; FF if they do <u>not</u> already have a FF or ESP</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CI necessary only if the unit does not have a wet scrubber</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>In some cases, the CI control for mercury may also control for dioxins; however, where a unit has an ESP, this chart assumes it will need to add a FF as well.</b></li> <li>• <b>Thus, the 186 units with ESPs will also need FFs.</b></li> </ul>
<b>Capital Cost Per Unit</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Range of SDA Costs Per Unit: \$6M-\$40M <b>(some units had zero costs for SDAs)<sup>2</sup></b></li> <li>• Average Per Unit Cost of SDA: \$16M<sup>3</sup></li> <li>• Range of SDA &amp; FF Costs Per Unit: \$5M-\$25M</li> <li>• Average Per Unit Cost of SDA &amp; FF: \$14M<sup>4</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Range of CATOX Costs Per Unit: \$1M-\$6M (some units had zero costs for CATOX)</li> <li>• Average Per Unit Cost of CATOX: \$2M<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Range of CI Costs Per Unit: \$0.2-\$5.3M <b>(some units had zero costs for CI)</b></li> <li>• Average Per Unit Cost of CI: \$1.4M<sup>6</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>We need per unit costs for FFs on these units.</b></li> </ul>
<b>O&amp;M Cost Per Unit</b>	<b>Need O&amp;M Cost Info</b>	<b>Need O&amp;M Cost Info</b>	<b>Need O&amp;M Cost Info</b>	<b>Need O&amp;M Cost Info</b>
<b># of Oil-Fired Boilers for which EPA has Data</b>	442 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 324 need SDAs;</li> <li>• 40 need SDAs <u>and</u> FFs;</li> <li>• 78 need no control</li> </ul>	442 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All 442 need CATOX</li> </ul>	442 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>367 need CI, i.e., do not have wet scrubbers</b></li> </ul>	442 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 186 units need FFs, i.e., have ESPs</li> </ul>
<b>Total Cost</b>				

<sup>1</sup> I am assuming that 78 units have no control b/c they already have sufficient controls in place for PM and acid gas – is this correct?

<sup>2</sup> The spreadsheet indicates that some units need SDAs but have zero costs associated with them – why is this?

<sup>3</sup> Average SDA cost was calculated by adding up the per unit cost for each unit requiring a SDA to get the total cost for all units requiring a SDA (\$5,223M) and then dividing the total cost by the number of units requiring a SDA (324).

<sup>4</sup> Calculation per the formula above: \$573M ÷ 40.

<sup>5</sup> Calculation per the formula above: \$862M ÷ 442.

<sup>6</sup> Calculation per the formula above: \$506M ÷ 367.

