CIBO Annual Meeting Special Project Fund Discussion

October 13, 2011



What has the CIBO Special Fund Supported?

Recent Boiler MACT, Area Source, CISWI, NHSM Rule Efforts & Accomplishments

- CIBO members with URS to prepare a detailed analysis of EPA's source inventory, units needing controls, and control costs
 - Done for both Proposed and Final Rules
 - Considered the most authoritative source for Boiler MACT control costs
- Commissioned IHS Global Insight to determine broad economic impacts of Boiler MACT rule on regulated entities with indirect and induced impacts
 - Concluded 16,000 jobs at risk/\$1 Billion capital spent on compliance
- Organized/led House E&C Comm. Majority Staff briefing on Boiler MACT rule impacts and IHS Global Insight Report
- Assisted Institutional member testimony on American Energy Initiative- HE&C/Energy & Power Subcommittee
- Led successful industry request for BMACT comment period extension
- Scheduled and coordinated CIBO Hill visits
- Ongoing support of Congressional Staff analysis of BMACT rules and impacts

Recent Boiler MACT, Area Source, CISWI, NHSM Rule Efforts & Accomplishments (Cont'd)

- Drafted & filed comprehensive comments on all 4 proposed combustion rules along with significant input from URS- improvements included:
 - Increase in some subcategory emission limits
 - Retention of Gas 1 Work Practice Standards
 - Ability for Gas 2 sources to qualify as Gas 1
 - Work practice approach for Startup/Shutdown periods
 - Addition of Limited Use subcategory
 - Lesser CO CEMS requirements
- Sought Administrative stays of all 4 rules
- Drafted & filed Petitions for EPA Reconsideration for BMACT, CISWI, and Area Source rules, also with URS input
- Filed 4 Petitions for Review in DC Circuit Court
- Filed initial submissions & Motion for Intervention in DC Circuit Court for BMACT, CISWI, Area Source rules
- Filed Petition for New Rulemaking for NHSM final rule

Recent Boiler MACT, Area Source, CISWI, NHSM Rule Efforts & Accomplishments (Cont'd)

- Filed Motions to Intervene in DC Circuit Court for Completion Case & Delay Notice Case
- Assisted with BMACT legislation H.R. 2250
 - Passed House E&C Committee Sept. 11, 2011
 - Heard of House Floor last week
 - Up for Floor vote this week
- Ongoing coordination with industry coalition on BMACT and other rule efforts

Other Activity

- Coal combustion byproduct classification
- Energy legislation
- NAAQS
- GHG policies and regulations
- etc.
- Coordination with other Trade Associations

CIBO Special Project Funds

- **2010**
 - Contributions \$201,800
 - Expenses \$244,731
 - However, contributions included CIBO fund transfer
 - \$39,000 CIBO Accounts Receivable at year-end 2010
- 2011
 - Contributions \$99,000
 - Expenses \$96,997
 - CIBO Accounts Receivable remains on the books
- Conclusion- contributions are not fully covering Special Project expenses
 - Ominous outlook for continued efforts

Recognition of Member Contributors Over 2000-2011 Period- Primary Contributors

Company/Institution	Cumulative Contribution
Eastman Chemical Co.	\$94,000
Alcoa	\$50,000
ADM	\$40,000
Cargill, Inc.	\$39,500
Citizens Gas/Thermal/Energy Group	\$30,000
DuPont	\$30,000
International Paper	\$19,000
Tate & Lyle	\$19,000
Procter & Gamble	\$18,000
Grain Processing Corp.	\$17,000
Epcor USA Ventures	\$16,000
Michigan State University	\$12,000
Notre Dame	\$10,000

Recognition of Member Contributors Over 2000-2011 Period- Other Contributors

Company/Institution	Cumulative Contribution
Capital Power Ops., Koch Companies	\$8000
Weyerhaeuser Co.	\$6000
Bunge North America, Celanese, Cinergy Investments, Cincinnati Bulk Terminals, LLC, Dow Chemical Company, Duke Energy Generation Services, MeadWestVaco, River Trading Company, Suez Energy	\$5000
FMC Corporation	\$3000
Inter-Power, Lyondell Chemical	\$2500
General Motors Company, Metso Power & Automation, Wheelabrator Technologies	\$2000
Piney Creek	\$1250
Dustex Corporation	\$1000
Sebesta	\$600
Case Western Reserve University	\$500
Fuel Tech, Inc.	\$300

CIBO Legal Counsel Retainer

- \$15,000/month
- Covers:
 - Routine association legal assistance
 - Moderate efforts and document preparation on specific issues
 - Participation and coordination with other Trades on specific issues
 - Review and input on coordinated documents
 - Participation and preparation for quarterly and other meetings

Options for Funding Additional Legal and Other Outside Assistance/Consulting

- Continue voluntary requests for contributions on a frequency as needed to cover expenses (beg) without any specific company/institution amount request
- 2. Voluntary requests for contributions on a frequency as needed with a specific common amount request per company/institution
- 3. Voluntary requests for contributions on a frequency as needed with a specific amount request based on facilities owned/operated by the specific company/institution
- 4. Forecast appropriate level required for the following year and increase dues for that year accordingly to enable payments from general funds
- 5. Special assessments on all members at a level to be determined during the year based on actual expenses expected/incurred

Options for Funding- Further Detail

- Option 1- Voluntary response to begging
 - Basically continue current approach and hope for the best
 - Utilize steering committee to determine scope and level of activity based on projected costs and expected available funds
 - Could also limit activity based on total \$ not to exceed
- Option 2- Voluntary response to a specific amount per member request
 - Would treat all companies/institutions uniformly
 - Probably difficult to budget by company/institution
- Option 3- Voluntary response to a specific amount request based on facility/equipment
 - Example- per company at \$5000 for each plant/facility/powerhouse above some size threshold firing >50% heat input on coal or biomass; \$3000- >50% liquid fuel/oil; \$1000- >50% gaseous fuel
 - Ties contribution to potential costs and savings
 - Some companies get nailed

Options for Funding- Further Detail

- Option 4- Dues increase
 - Treats all members similarly, regardless of company/institution structure and equipment
 - Would allow budgeting if total quantity was enough to cover expenses plus additional costs with potential surplus toward fund balance/staff/other uses or eventual dues decrease to balance income/expenses
- Option 5- Special assessment
 - Bylaws allow the Board to impose on pro rata basis
 - Could also be based on Option 3 methodology or other
 - Could be implemented following a vote of Active Member Principle Reps or Board; but would need member agreement of specific scope and cost to proceed

Discussion

- Any thoughts on the 5 options?
- Any alternative suggestions?
- Any suggestions regarding a process to proceed?